

PLANNING COMMITTEE LIST

COUNCILLOR REPRESENTATION

Cllr. Martin Osborne BH2021/04436 – 16 Talbot Crescent

26th January 2022:

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

- Because of the Additional Traffic
- Noise
- Overdevelopment
- Residential Amenity

Comment: I'm objecting to this application for a few reasons.

Firstly, the internal layout of the building would mean that future tenants would not have the greatest experience when living there. 4/6 bedrooms are small and barely exceeding minimum space standards and although there are some positive aspects with the ratio of toilets/showers (there are 3 toilets and 3 showers), there are no baths which I always like to see. Also, there is no need for an extension, which removes space at the back of house and getting rid of garage space through a conversion means that cars forced to park on the narrow road rather than located off-street. Both are examples of over-development.

Furthermore, I'm concerned about the effect on neighbour's residential amenity, especially in terms of noise, waste and parking. I know all these are meant to be addressed by the application including sound proofing and bike sheds, but you can never fully guarantee that no cars will be brought and it's reliant upon inhabitants to follow rules which can be hit and miss. If more cars are brought, this would add to the problems for access to the narrow street, which has previously caused issues for waste/recycling collections.

I see this already has significant input from the public and will therefore be referred to committee but to add my voice I'd reiterate their concerns and would be happy to speak at planning committee on this.